

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/517,980

Applicant(s)

LANDERS ET AL.

Examiner

TIMOTHY G. KINGAN

Art Unit

1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TIMOTHY G. KINGAN.

(3) _____.

(2) Minh Pham.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tanq et al., J. Chromatography A 887:265-275, 2000.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and attorney discussed the prior art with respect to the apparatus claims 1-8 and the nucleic acid processing system claims 14-22. Attorney asked that these claims would be canceled; examiner agreed, leaving the method claims 9-13 for consideration. Further, examiner informed attorney that the method claims were allowable over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.